

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS
HOT SPRINGS DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

PLAINTIFF

v.

Case No. 6:18-cr-60016

ROBERT LEE HUNTER

DEFENDANT

ORDER

Before the Court is Defendant's Motion to Suppress Evidence. (ECF No. 31). The Court finds that no response is necessary and that this matter is ripe for consideration.

The deadline to file motions to suppress in this case was January 22, 2019. (ECF No. 12, Sec. III). Defendant filed the instant Motion to Suppress Evidence on January 28, 2019. Defendant's Counsel, Mr. Willard Proctor, has accepted fault for the untimely filing and asks that Defendant's late motion to suppress be accepted for good cause shown. In the alternative, Mr. Proctor requests a continuance even though he maintains he is prepared for trial.¹

Upon consideration, the Court finds that good cause has *not* been shown to accept Defendant's untimely Motion to Suppress Evidence. Moreover, Defendant's Motion to Suppress Evidence neither mentions any contested facts nor asserts any legal basis on which to exclude evidence. Accordingly, Defendant's Motion to Suppress Evidence (ECF No. 31) should be and hereby is **DENIED**. In light of this ruling, the Court will entertain a Motion to Continue put properly before it. If a continuance is granted, the Court will then entertain a properly briefed and cited motion to suppress.

IT IS SO ORDERED, this 28th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Susan O. Hickey
Susan O. Hickey
United States District Judge

¹ The Court does not construe Mr. Proctor's one-sentence request as a Motion to Continue. As noted below, the Court will entertain a Motion to Continue set out in a separate document.